Long-term follow-up results of cytarabine-containing chemotherapy for acute promyelocytic leukemia
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Conclusions

Adding cytarabine to anthracycline plus ATRA was not inferior to anthracycline plus ATRA alone, but it was not comparable to arsenic trioxide plus ATRA. The probability of secondary malignancy was low.
INTRODUCTION

Acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) is a distinct subtype of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) associated with t(15;17) and promyelocytic leukemia/retinoic acid receptor alpha (PML-RARα) gene mutations \[1,2\]. The prognosis of APL has been considered good, and thus, to date, it has been treated with a strategy different from that used for AML. The standard APL treatment is a combination of all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) \[3,4\] with cytotoxic agents. The long-term prognosis of APL is favorable, except that a proportion of newly diagnosed patients may experience treatment-related morbidity and mortality. These outcomes are mainly due to bleeding related to secondary fibrinolysis and bleeding in the early phase of the treatment \[5-7\].

Recent advances in the treatment of APL have led to the combination of arsenic trioxide and ATRA. This combination produced a more favorable outcome than the classical combination of ATRA plus cytotoxic agents \[8-10\]. Nevertheless, the classical combination is widely used for newly diagnosed APL, due to issues with drug availability and cost-effectiveness.

Numerous previous studies have shown that the classical AML treatment, the combination of cytarabine and anthracycline, did not improve APL outcomes, compared to the single agent treatment with anthracycline. However, before the era of rapid testing for the PML-RARα mutation, chromosomal analysis was an essential in diagnosing APL, but it was a time-consuming process. This process introduced a critical delay in the diagnosis of APL. Moreover, rapid reduction of peripheral blasts is important in preventing life-threatening complications, like bleeding and differentiation syndrome, in the early phase of APL treatment. Thus, we reasoned that, before the PML-RARα mutation could be detected, it might be advantageous to administer cytarabine plus anthracycline, when AML is suspected, for rapid cytodestruction and the prevention of fatal complications.

In the present study, we evaluated the feasibility and efficacy of combining cytarabine, idarubicin, and ATRA for treating patients newly diagnosed with APL. The primary outcomes were long-term survival and the incidence of secondary malignancies among survivors during a 20-year follow-up.

METHODS

Patient selection & treatment scheme

This study was planned as one of the subgroup analyses of the AML-2000 protocol, which had been proposed as
a risk-stratified treatment scheme, based on chromosome abnormalities in patients with newly diagnosed AML. The present analysis included patients with newly diagnosed AML that carried the t(15;17) or PML-RARα mutation (Fig. 1). The Institutional Review Boards of all participating institutions approved this study, and the approval number of the institution ‘Ewha Womans University Mokdong Hospital’ of the first author was EUMC 2016-09-014. Written informed consent by the patients was waived due to a retrospective nature of our study.

After the diagnosis, patients received 12 mg/m²/day idarubicin intravenously for 3 days and 100 mg/m²/day cytarabine for 7 days. ATRA was administered as an oral dose of 45 mg/m²/day after confirming the presence of Auer rods in the blood cell morphology, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and/or a t(15;17) or PML-RARα mutation. ATRA was continually administered until complete remission (CR) was confirmed.

At 28 days after the initiation of induction chemotherapy, when the absolute neutrophil and platelet counts recovered in peripheral blood (PB), a bone marrow biopsy was performed. CR was confirmed when the bone marrow blast count was < 5%, the absolute PB neutrophil count was > 1,000/mm³, and the platelet count was > 100,000/mm³. Patients that achieved CR received three sessions of consolidation treatment with 12 mg/m²/day idarubicin for 3 days without ATRA. ATRA was administered as maintenance therapy at an oral dose of 45 mg/m²/day for 15 days, every 2 months, for 2 years. Patients that failed to achieve CR received re-induction therapy, at the discretion of investigators, and either continued chemotherapy or received autologous/allogeneic stem cell transplantation after achieving CR.

Patients at standard risk, based on the PB white blood cell (WBC) count (< 10,000/mm³), received 45 mg/m²/day of ATRA for 15 days (every 2 months), as maintenance treatment for 2 years. For patients at high risk (PB WBC count ≥ 10,000/mm³), we administered the same dose of ATRA for 15 days (every 3 months), combined with 90 mg/m²/day 6-mercaptopurine (every day), plus 15 mg/m²/week methotrexate, and 1 mg/week folic acid, for 2 years.

Blood transfusions were performed during induction and consolidation chemotherapy regimens to maintain the hemoglobin level above 8 g/dL and the platelet count above 20,000/mm³. Prophylactic and empirical antibacterial, antifungal, and antiviral agents were administered at the discretion of each investigator.

Statistical analysis
Non-relapse mortality was defined as a death without disease progression, including hematologic relapse. Relapse-free survival (RFS) times were measured from the date of CR to the date of non-relapse mortality or hematologic relapse. Overall survival (OS) was measured from the APL diagnosis to the date of the last follow-up or death. RFS and OS were estimated with the Kaplan-Meier method and Cox-regression method. All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS version 26 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). All data were analyzed and finally approved by all the authors.

RESULTS
Patient characteristics
From January 2000 to July 2007, a total of 605 patients with newly diagnosed AML had been screened at 22 institutions for the AML-2000 study. Among those, 50 patients were dropped, due to protocol violation. Thus, 555 patients were enrolled in the final study. Among those, we included 87 patients with APL in the present study.

The median age of our patients was 40 years (range, 6 to 80), and 10 patients were under 20 years old. The biologic features and hemogram status at diagnosis are shown in
Table 1. Nineteen patients (21.8%) with PB WBC counts ≥ 10,000/mm$^3$ were classified as the high-risk group. Then, for an alternate analysis, all patients were classified into three groups, based on the Programa de Estudio y Tratamiento de las Hemopatías Malignas (PETHEMA) classification system, according to their WBC and PB platelet counts at diagnosis, as follows: high-risk, WBC ≥ 10,000/mm$^3$; intermediate-risk, WBC < 10,000/mm$^3$ and platelet < 40,000/mm$^3$ [11]. According to that system, 29, 31, and 16 patients were included in high-, intermediate-, and low-risk groups, respectively.

Chemotherapy-associated characteristics and response rates
A total of 75 patients (86.2%) achieved CR after induction chemotherapy. An additional three patients achieved CR with additional chemotherapy. Accordingly, the CR rate was 89.7%. The median time from the initiation of induction chemotherapy to the achievement of CR was 29 days (range, 14 to 84). Among the patients that failed to achieve CR, seven failed due to treatment-related mortality (5, bleeding; 1, infection; 1, organ failure), and two had primary-refractory disease.

When all patients were classified into two groups, according to their initial WBC count (low-risk, < 10,000/mm$^3$; high-risk, ≥ 10,000/mm$^3$), the CR rate was higher in the low-risk group than in the high-risk group (87.9% vs. 78.9%), but the difference between groups was not significant. When patients were classified into three groups, according to their WBC and platelet counts, the CR rates tended to decrease with increasing risk (low-risk, 96.7%; intermediate-risk, 80.6%; high-risk, 78.9%; $p = 0.059$).

Comparison of survival
After a median follow-up period of 73.5 months, the median RFS was not reached, but the 10-year RFS rate was 94.1% (Fig. 2). Similarly, the median OS was not reached, but the 10-year OS rates were: 73.8% for all patients and 100% for patients that achieved CR (Fig. 3).

Table 1. Demographics of patients (n = 87)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>37 (42.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50 (57.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>10 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–65</td>
<td>72 (82.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 65</td>
<td>5 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG performance status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>81 (93.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>6 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemogram at diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC, peripheral blood, /mm$^3$</td>
<td>2,900 (460–72,870)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin, peripheral blood, g/dL</td>
<td>8.1 (2.8–12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plt, peripheral blood, /mm$^3$</td>
<td>32,000 (4,000–218,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blast count, peripheral blood, /mm$^3$</td>
<td>506.5 (0–47,433)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blast percentage, bone marrow, %</td>
<td>23 (0–97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC count of peripheral blood at diagnosis, /mm$^3$</td>
<td>2,900 (460–72,870)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10,000</td>
<td>66 (75.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 10,000</td>
<td>19 (21.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH level at diagnosis, IU</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (WBC ≥ 10,000/mm$^3$)</td>
<td>29 (33.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate (WBC &lt; 10,000/mm$^3$ and Plt &lt; 40,000/mm$^3$)</td>
<td>31 (36.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (WBC &lt; 10,000/mm$^3$ and Plt ≥ 40,000/mm$^3$)</td>
<td>16 (18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH level at diagnosis, IU</td>
<td>495 (115–2,342)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as number (%) or median (range).

ECOG. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; WBC, white blood cell; Plt, platelet; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase.

Figure 2. Leukemia-free survival. CR, complete remission.
When all patients were classified into two groups, according to the PB WBC count at diagnosis (low-risk, WBC < 10,000/mm$^3$; high-risk WBC ≥ 10,000/mm$^3$), both the RFS and OS of patients in the low-risk group were significantly higher than those in the high-risk group (Fig. 4). When the patients were classified into three groups according to the PB WBC and platelet counts at diagnosis, the RFS and OS of patients in each risk group were significantly different. The 10-year RFS rates were: 90.0% in the low-risk group, 96.0% in the intermediate-risk group, and 73.3% in the high-risk group ($p = 0.22$). Similarly, the 10-year OS rates were 93.2%, 62.5%, and 57.9%.
respectively ($p = 0.006$) (Fig. 5).

**Toxicities**

Table 2 shows all the toxicities and all the grade 3–4 toxicities observed during the induction and consolidation treatment periods. Although cytarabine and idarubicin were administered together during the induction period, the proportion of patients that experienced nausea/vomiting was only 62% (all grades), and only 5% were grades 3–4 severity. Most patients (80%) experienced neutropenic fever, and 39% of patients experienced grade 3–4 neutropenic fever during the induction period. Any kind of infection was observed in 37% of patients (all grades), and 29% had grade 3–4 infections. Only a modest proportion of adverse events occurred during the consolidation periods, and no patients died due to toxicity or dropped out due to adverse events.

When we defined early death during induction as death from any cause for up to 28 days of induction, the early death rate was 10.3%. The causes of death were bleeding ($n = 5$), multiorgan failure ($n = 2$), infection ($n = 1$), and refractory disease ($n = 1$).

**Secondary malignancies among long-term survivors**

Among the patients enrolled in this study, two patients experienced secondary malignancy after the APL treatment.
Thus, the incidences of secondary malignancy after the APL treatment were 2.7%, among the 75 patients that achieved CR, and 5.0%, among the 40 patients that survived more than 5 years after the APL diagnosis. Of these two patients, one developed colon cancer at 71 months after the APL diagnosis, and the other developed esophageal cancer at 123 months after the APL diagnosis. These two patients survived after the secondary malignancy treatment without any evidence of APL relapse.

DISCUSSION

This study analyzed the treatment outcomes of patients with newly diagnosed APL that were treated according to the AML-2000 protocol. The AML-2000 protocol was initiated in January 2000 and was proposed as a risk-stratified treatment scheme, based on chromosome abnormalities, for newly diagnosed AML. However, numerous previous studies [3,4,11-16] have shown that, when the anthracycline plus cytarabine combination was administered as an induction chemotherapy, patients did not experience better outcomes compared to those treated with anthracycline alone. Currently, anthracycline plus ATRA is one of the standard treatment options for induction chemotherapy in patients with newly diagnosed APL. In addition, arsenic trioxide plus ATRA was recently recommended as a better treatment option for APL [2,8-10,17-19].

At the time that we proposed the AML-2000 protocol, it was not fully known whether ATRA combined with cytarabine and anthracycline was superior to ATRA plus anthracycline for newly diagnosed APL. Thus, we intended to evaluate whether the three-drug combination regimen could overcome the poor outcome of high-risk APL. In 2000, rapid genetic tests for identifying the presence of \( PML-RAR_\alpha \) were not widely used in clinical practice; thus, the definite diagnosis of APL was delayed until a chromosome analysis could confirm the presence of \( t(15;17) \). We reasoned that an upfront, rapid initiation of the AML-type induction chemotherapy (anthracycline and cytarabine) without delay for patients with suspected APL might rapidly eliminate the promyelocytes, suppress the progression of secondary fibrinolysis, and reduce early treatment-related morbidity/mortality, including life-threatening bleeding episodes [3,5-7,16,20,21].

Recently, studies have reported overall outcomes for patients with APL treated with ATRA or arsenic trioxide plus chemotherapy. In a randomized trial by the French Belgian Swiss (FBS) APL group [8], patients with APL were treated with ATRA plus idarubicin and cytarabine, followed by consolidation chemotherapy with idarubicin combined with cytarabine, arsenic trioxide, or ATRA. In the standard-risk group, the 5-year event-free survival rates were 88.7%, 95.7%, and 85.4%, among patients treated with idarubicin and cytarabine, arsenic trioxide, or ATRA, respectively. In the high-risk group, the 5-year event-free survival rates were 85.5% and 92.1%, among patients in the chemotherapy and chemotherapy plus arsenic trioxide groups, respectively.

In the present study, we first classified all patients according to the PB WBC count at diagnosis (low-risk, WBC < 10,000/mm\(^3\) vs. high-risk, WBC ≥ 10,000/mm\(^3\)). We found that, for patients in the low-risk group, the 10-year RFS and OS rates were 90.9% and 80.0% respectively, which were significantly higher than those observed in the high-risk group (RFS 73.3% and OS 57.9%) (Fig. 4). These outcomes were somewhat inferior to the 5-year event-free survival rates of patients treated with idarubicin plus arsenic trioxide in the FBS study (standard risk 95.7%, high-risk 92.1%). However, the 10-year RFS of the low-risk group in this study (90.9%) was comparable to the 5-year RFS of patients treated with idarubicin plus cytarabine or ATRA in the low-risk group of the FBS study (88.7% and 85.4%, respectively). For high-risk patients, in this study, the 10-year RFS (73.3%) was somewhat inferior to the 5-year event-free survival rates of the FBS high-risk APL groups that received chemotherapy (85.5%) or chemotherapy plus arsenic trioxide (92.1%), even though ATRA was also combined with chemotherapy in the present study.

At the initiation of the present study, arsenic trioxide was not available. At that time, the treatment option for overcoming the adverse prognostic factors associated with high-risk APL was to add cytarabine to idarubicin. Therefore, we tested this combination. However, that treatment was not superior to idarubicin plus ATRA in the standard-risk group, and it was inferior to idarubicin plus arsenic trioxide in the high-risk group. Consequently, the idarubicin plus ATRA or arsenic trioxide combinations are currently recommended for treating APL, and arsenic trioxide plus ATRA is recommended for high-risk patients.

When the patients in this study were classified according to either the PB WBC count at diagnosis or the PB WBC and platelet counts at diagnosis, the RFS and OS were signifi-
cantly different between groups. Those results suggested that the addition of cytarabine to anthracycline plus ATRA as an induction chemotherapy could overcome the poor outcome of high-risk APL. These findings were well correlated with recent recommendations and study outcomes for newly diagnosed APL.

In the present study, the treatment-related mortality rate was 8.0% during induction chemotherapy, which was lower than the rates reported in previous studies (27% to 47%) [22,23], even though we added cytarabine to the induction chemotherapeutic regimen. However, considering that arsenic trioxide plus ATRA is currently recommended as the standard therapy for APL, our overall adverse event rates, including infection and neutropenic fever, were relatively higher than the rates associated with the current standard therapy. Nevertheless, the idarubicin, cytarabine, plus ATRA combination can be considered in developing countries with special situations; for example, in some countries, the cost of arsenic trioxide plus ATRA is not reimbursed, and it is not well tolerated. In the past, when rapid tests for detecting PML-RARα were not available, the early administration of cytotoxic agents with a common regimen for AML might have been more beneficial than the delayed administration of an APL-specific regimen, after detecting the specific chromosomal abnormality for APL. In those cases, the rapid reduction of promyelocytes reduced the tendency for bleeding associated with secondary fibrinolysis in APL. However, recent advances in diagnostic tests for detecting genetic mutations related to AML can provide information rapidly, and APL can be diagnosed in a few days. Therefore, currently, APL-specific induction treatments can be initiated without delay. Accordingly, the early administration of AML-type induction chemotherapy, including cytarabine, is no longer recommended.

We conducted long-term follow-ups to monitor long-term survival and the development of secondary malignancy related to chemotherapy [10,18,24]. To our knowledge, this study was the first to report the development of secondary malignancy among survivors treated for APL, except for one report based on Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER)-Medicare data [25]. Among the 87 patients included in this study, 14 were followed for more than 10 years, and 40 patients were followed for at least 5 years and up to 20 years.

The main limitation of the current study was its retrospective design. In addition, the sample size was relatively small, which limited our ability to conclude definitively that the combination of anthracycline and cytarabine as an induction chemotherapy was comparable to the current standard anthracycline-based regimen for APL. Moreover, the long-term sample was too small to assure safety, in terms of the development of a secondary malignancy. Another limitation was that we started the induction therapy with the combination of idarubicin, cytarabine, plus ATRA without stratification according to patient risk features, because this study was initiated in the absence of the specific, powerful tool for detecting the presence of PML-RARα. Consequently, the results of this study should be interpreted and applied with caution. Finally, the current standard treatment for APL has been established as the combination of ATRA and arsenic trioxide or anthracycline, and those treatments are preferred to anthracycline plus cytarabine.

In conclusion, this study showed that the AML-type standard chemotherapeutic regimen of cytarabine combined with idarubicin plus ATRA did not improve treatment outcomes in patients with high-risk APL. The addition of cytarabine to anthracycline plus ATRA was not inferior to anthracycline plus ATRA alone, in terms of treatment-related morbidity and mortality, or in terms of treatment outcome. However, cytarabine added to anthracycline plus ATRA was inferior to arsenic trioxide plus ATRA. Our results suggested that cytarabine added to anthracycline plus ATRA could be used for treating patients with newly diagnosed APL, when rapid genetic tests are not available, when the early differential diagnosis of APL (i.e., distinguishing APL from other types of AML) is difficult, or when the standard arsenic trioxide plus ATRA treatment is unaffordable. Finally, this study showed that the probability of secondary malignancy was not high. Indeed, among patients with APL that achieved and maintained CR for more than 5 years after cytarabine, anthracycline, plus ATRA, most survived for more than 10 years.

**KEY MESSAGE**

1. This study showed that cytarabine and idarubicin plus all-trans retinoic acid did not improve the treatment outcomes of patients with high-risk acute promyelocytic leukemia.
2. The cytarabine-containing regimen was associated with a relatively low probability of secondary malignancy.
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